

PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF THE HIGH-SPEED EXPERIMENTAL FLIGHT TEST VEHICLE HEXAFLY-INTERNATIONAL

Nunzia Favaloro^{*}, Maria Pia Di Donato^{*}, Attilio Rispoli^{*}, L.Vecchione^{*},
Johan Steelant[†]

^{*} CIRA, Italian Aerospace Research Center, Propulsion Plant Unit, HYPROB Head, Via Maiorise,
81043 Capua (CE), Italy

e-mail: n.favaloro@cira.it, web page: <http://www.cira.it>

^{*} CIRA, Italian Aerospace Research Center, Aeronautics Unit Senior Researcher Via Maiorise, 81043
Capua (CE), Italy

e-mail: m.didonato@cira.it, web page: <http://www.cira.it>

^{*} CIRA, Italian Aerospace Research Center, Aeronautics Unit, Flight Line & Demonstrators, Head
Via Maiorise, 81043 Capua (CE), Italy

e-mail: a.rispoli@cira.it, web page: <http://www.cira.it>

^{*} CIRA, Italian Aerospace Research Center, Aeronautics Unit, Head, Via Maiorise, 81043 Capua
(CE), Italy

e-mail: l.vecchione@cira.it, web page: <http://www.cira.it>

[†] ESA-ESTEC, European Space Agency, Keplerlaan 1, 2200 AZ Noordwijk, The Netherlands
e-mail: Johan.Steelant@esa.int., web page: <http://www.esa.com>

Key words: Hypersonic, Preliminary Design, *HEXAFLY-INT*

Abstract. *Achieving airbreathing hypersonic flight is an ongoing challenge with the potential to cut air travel time and provide cheaper access to space. Waveriders are potential candidates for achieving hypersonic cruise or acceleration flight within the atmosphere. Current research tends to focus on key issues like thermal loading, aero-elasticity and aerothermodynamics at hypersonic speeds. Design problems in each of these areas must be solved if a hypersonic waverider design is to be viable.*

In this frame the HEXAFLY-INT project aims at the test in free-flight conditions of an innovative gliding vehicle with several breakthrough technologies on-board to be launched along a suborbital trajectory. Its preliminary conceptual design has been carried out by means of a number of numerical tools suitable to design vehicles flying in hypersonic conditions. The main results of the design analysis carried out during the preliminary phase of the study, such as vehicle aerodynamics and aerothermodynamics, re-entry trajectories, structures and mechanisms, and on the overall system, as well, are presented in this work.

1 INTRODUCTION

CIRA, the Italian Aerospace Research Centre, in the framework of 7th Framework program and also thanks to international cooperation, is conducting a dedicated research project to develop the HEXAFLY-INT (High-Speed Experimental Fly Vehicles- INTernational) vehicle that aims at the free flight testing of an innovative high-speed streamed glider with several breakthrough technologies on board. This approach will create the basis to gradually increase TRL.

In order to mature the Hexafly-INT project, a scientific mission profile has been worked within a precursor, Level 0 project, called HEXAFLY followed by a proof-of-concept based upon a preliminary design of a high-speed scramjet propelled flight test vehicle, the selection and integration of the ground-tested technologies developed within LAPCAT I & II, ATLLAS I & II and other national programs, and the identification of the most promising flight platform(s). About the last point, a waverider configuration has been selected to allow for a hypersonic cruise or acceleration flight within the atmosphere.

Over the last years, innovative concepts of civil high-speed transportation vehicles were proposed. These vehicles have a strong potential to increase the cruise range efficiency at high Mach numbers, thanks to efficient propulsion units combined with high-lifting vehicle concepts. Performing a test flight at hypersonic speed will be the only and ultimate proof to line demonstrates the technical feasibility of these new promising concepts versus their range efficiency.

The present paper describes, after a general overview of the mission and vehicle requirements, at system and technologies levels, the main results of the design analysis carried out during the preliminary phase of the study, such as vehicle aerodynamics and aerothermodynamics, flight mechanics, structures and mechanisms, and on the overall system as well.

2 Mission Objectives and Requirements

The HEXAFLY-INT project aims at the free flight testing of an innovative high-speed vehicle with several breakthrough technologies on board, the prime objectives of this free-flying high-speed cruise vehicle shall aim at:

- a conceptual design demonstrating a high aerodynamic efficiency at cruise with a high volumetric efficiency;
- a positive aerodynamic balance at a controlled cruise Mach numbers from 7 to 8;
- a good gliding performance from Mach 7 to 2;
- a manoeuvring and control capabilities through the different flight regimes, guaranteed by a properly designed aeroshape and guidance and control system;
- an interface with a “service module for descent-not controlled phase” ;
- a optimal use of advanced high-temperature materials and/or structures.

The system architecture is such that HEXAFLY-INT project provides two main demonstrations:

- Mission level, aimed at validating the overall mission design and related operations aspects.
- System and sub-system level, aimed at validating vehicle design and sub-systems integration aspects.

Hexafly Mission and System Requirements are reported and labelled in a proper document,

whose purpose is to clearly define the mission objectives and requirements of the high-speed flight experiment setting the framework for the flight vehicle definition and the related flying platform.

According to the ECSS Space Standard , all the mission and system requirements were associated with some attributes, one of the most important attribute is the “requirement traceability” that links each single requirement to its higher level requirements inside the requirement set. This enables the derivation of a requirement tree, which demonstrates the coherent flow-down of the requirements.

In the HEXAFLY-INT project, starting from the Project Requirements, Mission Requirements are listed, from which a preliminary “System” is identified and System Requirements specified for the vehicle are hence derived and collected in the relevant System Specification (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Requirement tree

All the above requirements, in turn, generate sets of lower level requirements concerning the various subsystems of the system architecture that are reported in the relevant subsystems specifications. The above mentioned subsystem requirements generate the sets of equipment requirements collected in the relevant equipment specifications that represent the lowest level of requirements. The following figure reports the structure of the requirements levels envisaged from the HEXAFLY-INTERNATIONAL Project (Figure 2)




Figure 2: Requirement tree

3 Mission Scenario

The HEXAFLY-INT design, manufacturing, assembly and verification will be the main driver and challenge in this project, in combination with a mission tuned sounding rocket (FIGURE 3).



Figure 3: HEXAFLY Launch Vehicle based on VS-43

In order to achieve the objectives described above, the HEXAFLY-INT mission is conceived to achieve a level flight at an altitude of about 32 km, while being injected from a semi-ballistic trajectory depicted in




FIGURE 4, and described below.




Figure 4: Mission Profile

The Hypersonic vehicle will be launched in a suborbital trajectory, with the apogee at around 90 km altitude, by the VS43 Brazilian launcher; the choice of a guided rocket eliminated the concerns with respect to the a-symmetry of the payload and offered the potential of hammerhead fairing.

After the release from launcher, the vehicle will be composed of two main parts, the Experimental Flight Test Vehicle (EFTV) and the Experiment Support Module (ESM). The former is the hypersonic glider that will perform the flight test; while the latter one (i.e., ESM) has the aim to control vehicle (i.e., EFTV+ESM) attitude by means of a cold gas system (CGS) when dynamic pressure does not allow controlling the EFTV by aerodynamic surfaces. The main preliminary flight sequence profile and events are shown and listed in Figure 4 and table 1 respectively.




Figure 5: Flight sequence profile

Table 1: Flight sequence Events

#	Flight Event
1-2	Propelled ascent
2	Motor burnout
3	Nose-cone ejection
4	L/V alignment
5	ESM/EFTV release
6	Attitude control by RCS in the ESM
7	Ejection of ESM
8	Pull-out manoeuvre
9	Controlled flight
10	Impact

Only after the pull out maneuver the Experimental vehicle (i.e. EFTV) will execute an autonomous flight from hypersonic to subsonic regimes up to the splashdown, and the experimental flight will allow the demonstration of some objectives as high aerodynamic efficiency, a positive aerodynamic balance at controlled cruise Mach numbers, an optimal use of advanced high-temperature materials and structures.

4 Design Analysis Results

Prior the initiation of HEXAFLY-INT contract, CIRA was involved in some system and technological studies in the frame of the HEXAFLY program. During these studies, a trade-off analysis was conducted to determine the design aeroshape and configuration arrangement, as well as major sub-system concepts following some mission ground rules based on selected mission scenario [1]. Key design requirements for aeroshape and system definition were: landing speed, CoG envelope, down and cross range, aeroheating, stability and control, and

flying qualities. In the present chapter, a first, preliminary, design of the HEXAFLY-INT Experimental Flight Test vehicle (EFTV), Cold structure and Hot structure, is provided.

4.1 Vehicle Configuration and Structure

The overall aim of the HEXAFLY-INT project is to design, manufacture and test in flight a high speed gliding vehicle, based on the configuration developed in previously European community (EC) co-funded projects ATLLAS I & II, LAPCAT I & II, and HEXAFLY.[8] Under HEXAFLY-INT the scramjet propulsion system will not be developed further by the EC-partners, and as a consequence the flight experiment is focused on a self-controlled glider configuration.

The EFTV vehicle configuration is reported in Figure 6, where the train (i.e. EFTV docked to ESM) and ESM configurations are provided as well.




Figure 6: EFTV docked to ESM, EFTV and ESM aeroshapes.

The vehicle design makes maximum use of databases, expertise, technologies and materials elaborated in previously European community co-funded projects ATLLAS I & II, LAPCAT I & II, and HEXAFLY.[8]

As stated before, the evolution of the EFTV glider configuration has started by the HEXAFLY propelled vehicle, namely the V47 aeroshape. The intake and the nozzle of the scramjet propulsion flow path were closed. A simple flat panel closed the nozzle as a classical base, while a cap, namely Cap_V7, was conceived to close the scramjet inlet of the V47 aeroshape. This cap was constructed in such a way that the upper surface downstream of the leading edge was connected tangentially to the original inlet. Upstream of this panel and the original leading edge, a conical nose was attached. The upper panel of the cone had in the symmetry plane an angle of 7 deg while the lower inclination was 16 deg.

Figure 7, shows different views of the HEXAFLY-INT EFTV with the Cap_V7 (in green). In this way, the aeroshape Cap_V7 was obtained and delivered by DLR. Such a Cap_V7

configuration guaranteed an aeroshape which featured, in clean configuration, a natural trim point if the moment reference centre was considered at 57% of L_{ref} .




Figure 7: EFTV Cap_V7 aeroshape by DLR.

Starting from this aeroshape further changes have been operated, on the basis of suggestions coming from preliminary CFD analyses. The leading edge of the cap was modified, moving from a 3-D to a 2-D leading edge, in order to simplify leading edge manufacturing and to obtain a lower aero-heating with respect to the Cap_V7.

However, pressure and heat flux surface distributions on the Cap_V7 forebody leeside feature non-smooth fields, and this fact has suggested improving locally the vehicle's aeroshape. Above results encouraged CIRA to verify margin improvements on the Cap_V7 forebody leeside. To this end the CIRA-FC4 forebody has been proposed and investigated. Aeroshape modification moving from CIRA-C4 aeroshape to CIRA-FC4 aeroshape is shown in Figure 8




Figure 8: Comparison between CIRA-C4 and CIRA-FC4 aeroshapes.

Surface improvements operated on the CIRA-FC4 aeroshape can be appreciated on the comparison of pressure distribution reported in Figure 9, evaluated for $M_\infty=8$ and $AoA=0$ deg freestream conditions. This surface change does not affect vehicle aerodynamic performance, the L/D and pitching moment coefficients.




Figure 9. Pressure distribution comparison between CIRA-C4 and CIRA-FC4.

However, also in the FC4 configuration there were bulges and indents which made the manufacturing and assembly more difficult and costly. So, in order to simplify the vehicle manufacturing and to allow structural feasibility (the aeroshape features a very slender configuration) of the vehicle in the light of external loading conditions and internal subsystem layout, i.e. avionics, aerodynamic surface actuators and etc., vehicle aeroshape was further modified into the current configuration, namely CIRA-FC4RF




Figure 10: CIRA-FC4RF Configuration

At this stage, the Hexafly-INTERNational vehicle is long 4 m and has a max wing span of 1.24 m. The EFTV configuration under consideration is depicted in Figure 11.

The Experimental Vehicle is characterized by relatively simple architecture, which embodies all the features of an operational system. The concept consists of a truncated waverider wing with vertical stabilizers and a fuselage on top of the wing.




Figure 11. Hexafly-International layout

The internal layout has been designed to allocate the cargo bay and relevant equipment in the limited volume, and with a limited maximum weight allowable by the launcher. The system relies, at the maximum extent, on flight proven or on-ground qualified sub-systems and equipment developed within international projects. Further, the use of COTS H/W coming from space and aeronautics heritage is strongly recommended.

The EFTV configuration is made of two main structure, Cold structures and Hot structure, herein briefly described. The cold structure of EFTV is composed mainly by the whole experimental vehicle except the following item: Ailerons, Leading edge and Nose cap. For the experimental flight vehicle, several substructures can be identified, as shown below (Figure 12 **Errore. L'origine riferimento non è stata trovata.**):

- ✓ fuselage structure
- ✓ rudders
- ✓ wings




Figure 12. EFTV main components

The hot structures of EFTV are composed mainly by the following subsystems: Ailerons, Leading edge and Nose cap that is composed by three parts one fully solid body, the other are two shell upper shell and lower shell longitudinally joint.




Figure 13: Nose Cap exploded view

A particular attention, during the design phase, was made to the mass property and performance. The weight for the whole mission has been estimated below 450 kg with a margin and within the launcher limit and any mass growth shall be avoided because it induces increase in nominal speed with increasing demand for control system

The center of gravity is located at 75% of fuselage length, and the equipment’s allocation inside the vehicle is very critical considering the very limited internal volume implying detailed thermal control analysis and integration issues that will be covered in the next phase of the study.

In order to highlight the different contribute related to EFTV system, in the following table, the system is divided in three different areas:

- Structure
- Telemetry
- Flight Control

Sub System	Mass [kg]
EFTV Structure	318
EFTV Telemetry	11
EFTV Flight Control	32
EFTV Weigh	362




Figure 14: EFTV mass breakdown

4.2 Aerodynamics characteristics

The aerodynamic data of EFTV presented in this paper are results of inviscid CFD computations performed by DLR and Navier-Stokes simulations (both laminar and turbulent) carried out by CIRA. This overview refers to EFTV aeroshape called FC4, i.e. the one used by DLR to build-up the full AEDB, according to the Table 2

δ [°]	M [-]	α [°]	β [°]
-20 to +5 with $\Delta\delta=5$	2 to 9 with $\Delta M=1$	-6 to +12 with $\Delta\alpha=2$	0 and 2

Table 2. Matrix of flow conditions and flap deflections for the EFTV aerodynamic database.

In the following a few selected plots of aerodynamic data are shown. For instance, Figure 15 provides an overview of the lift and drag coefficients as a function of Mach number with and without sideslip angle and aileron deflections effects. As shown, 2 deg sideslip angle does not change vehicle lift force, but negative aileron deflections (-5 and -10 in figure) significantly reduce the lift coefficient. For what concerns drag, Figure 15 points out that neither sideslip angle of 2 deg nor flap deflections of -5 and -10 deg determine considerable variation in drag. However, it is worth to note that these results refer to inviscid flowfield evaluations, i.e. the detrimental effect on aerodynamic performance of eventual local flow separations are not still accounted for.




Figure 15. C_L and C_D vs. Mach number with and without sideslip angle and aileron deflection effects.

The same evaluation but for lift-to-drag ratio and pitching moment coefficient is summarized in Figure 16.




Figure 16. L/D and C_m vs. Mach number with and without sideslip angle and aileron deflection effects.

As one can see, the lift-to-drag ratio evolves accordingly to above suggestions for lift and drag, as shown in Figure 16. On the other hand, the pitching moment coefficient suggests that

again 2 deg of sideslip flow does not markedly change C_m , but aileron deflections move upward the pitching moment characteristic, as expected. Note that in the Mach number range from 7 to 8, and $AoA=0$ deg, some conditions of natural trim ($\delta=0$ deg) or trim obtained with a small positive aileron deflection (lower than 1 deg) are predicted.

As far as static stability in longitudinal and lateral-directional flight conditions is concerned, **Errore. L'origine riferimento non è stata trovata.** indicate that the glider is statically stable in longitudinal flight conditions.

On the other hand, the derivatives with respect to sideslip angle of side force, rolling moment and yawing moment coefficients at different Mach numbers and angles of attacks are summarized from Figure 17 to Figure 19. As one can see, also in lateral directional flight conditions the vehicle features static stability. In particular, Figure 17 points out that speed disturbance stability (i.e. $C_Y < 0$) is predicted at all Mach numbers and AoAs here investigated.




Figure 17. C_Y versus AoS at different AoA at $M_\infty=3$ and 8.

The sideslip derivative of the rolling moment coefficient C_l is provided in Figure 18 at five angles of attack, namely -6, -2, 0, 6, and 12 deg. As one can see, dihedral effect stability is predicted at all Mach numbers for $AoA \geq 0$ deg.




Figure 18. C_l versus AoS at different AoA at $M_\infty=3$ and 8.

Finally, the sideslip derivative of the yawing moment coefficient C_n is provided in Figure 19, at five angles of attack, namely -6, -2, 0, 6, and 12 deg. As shown, the weathercock lateral stability is predicted at all Mach numbers and AoAs.




Figure 19. C_n versus AoS at different AoA at $M_\infty=3$ and 8.

4.3 Aeroheating and Materials

Once the trajectories are provided, the aero heating environment that the vehicle concept has to withstand along its lifting re-entry flight has to be determined. During the flight, in fact, the vehicle suddenly heats due to the dissipation in the boundary layer of its high internal energy (potential and kinetic) by friction with the atmosphere. Vehicle surface heating strongly depends on the re-entry vehicle aero shape and attitude. Moreover, a material trade-off has been performed leading to take into account different material for the EFTV structure, namely: titanium alloy, copper, C/C-SiC and zirconia for surface coatings. Titanium alloys exhibit a unique combination of mechanical and physical properties and corrosion resistance which have made them desirable for critical, demanding aerospace applications, also in high temperatures conditions. Copper is employed as a heat sink to accommodate the thermal energy in some critical components (e.g. nose, leading edges). C/C-SiC developed at DLR and tested in different high temperatures applications (e.g. HIFiRE and SHEFEX) is considered for ailerons and for almost the totality of the wing leading edge. A zirconia coating layer has been also considered to protect titanium and copper components, increasing the surface emissivity and confining the larger temperatures on the layer itself. Finally, the following assumptions, summarized in Table 3, have been carried out on the vehicle components shown in Figure 20:

- copper for the vehicle nose;
- copper for the fore part of the wing leading edges;
- C/C-SiC for the remaining part of the wing leading edge;
- copper for the leading edge of the tails;
- C/C-SiC for the ailerons;
- titanium alloy for the remaining part of the structure.

In addition, a layer of 1 mm thick zirconia has been foreseen for all the components in titanium alloy and copper. Using a conservative approach, a constant surface emissivity of 0.4 has been set for the external coated surfaces.




Figure 20: Main structural components of the analysed EFTV

Nose	Fuselage	Wing	Wing LE	V-Tail	Aileron
Copper	Ti-Alloy	Ti-Alloy	C/C-SiC / Copper	Ti-Alloy / Copper	C/C-SiC

Table 3: Preliminary material assignment for the main structural components

The vehicle thermal behaviour has been preliminary assessed by means of the Finite Element Method (FEM) implemented in the software Ansys. A transient analysis along the computed entry path is performed to evaluate the time dependent temperature of the structure.

As results, the temporal variation of the maximum temperature on the different analysed materials and vehicle components has been plotted along the flight path. Figure 21 reports in particular the maximum temperature variation along the flight profile on the main vehicle components.

From Figure 21 it can be seen that zirconia coatings and C/C-SiC components (having maximum service temperatures in the order of 2400°C and 1600°C, respectively) would widely survive the aerothermal environment in these conditions. On the other hand, it can be noted that the maximum temperatures on the titanium and copper structures slightly exceed their upper working temperature limits (600 and 800°C, respectively), but only in limited spots of the vehicle, coloured in red for the titanium structure in Figure 21. This means that such temperature overshoot can be in principle redistributed inside the vehicle structure through a future thermal structural optimization.




Figure 21: Maximum temperature along the flight profile on the main vehicle components




Figure 22: Temperature distribution on the titanium structure at the peak heating condition for titanium components

Finally, from a thermal structural point of view, it can be concluded that:

- a thermal model has been realized for the entire structure on the basis of aerothermal loads estimated along the flight path;
- zirconia coating guarantees a relatively large surface emissivity and a suitable thermal protection for the underlying materials;
- copper seems to be adequate for the nose and the first part of the wing leading edge, considering its ability to work as a heat sink;
- copper and titanium structures can withstand the aerothermal environment except for limited spots, requiring a proper thermal structural optimization;
- thermal structural design is still ongoing and a numerical analysis campaign will be performed on updated structural configuration.

4.4 On Board Systems

The Hexafly-International vehicle employs an avionic system comprised of an inertial measurement unit (IMU), GPS, control actuators, and a flight control computer. Other subsystems include batteries for electrical power, servo control boards, power switching boards, sensors to measure pressure and temperature on the outside and inside of the vehicle, and a telemetry system to transmit all desired pressure, temperature, IMU, and flight computer data to ground receiving stations.

4.5 Trajectory

The reference mission scenario currently foreseen for the EFTV+ESM, and EFTV design is summarized from Figure 23 to Figure 25. The HEXAFLY-INT mission is conceived to achieve a hypersonic leveled flight at an altitude of about 30 km, while being injected from a semi-ballistic trajectory depicted in **Errore. L'origine riferimento non è stata trovata.**, and described in Table 1.

After a boost provided by an expendable launch vehicle equipped by a solid rocket motor (S43), bringing the scientific payload (EFTV+ESM) to about 90 km apogee, it follows a ballistic phase in the high atmosphere stabilized by an attitude control system, through CGS, in combination with an aerodynamic flare (ESM).

The vehicle (EFTV) is detached from the ESM when ESM-EFTV separation conditions are reached (see next section for further details) . After the separation, a pull-out manoeuvre brings EFTV to a hypersonic leveled flight at a target altitude of about 30 km. The EFTV trajectory after ESM-EFTV separation is referred as trajectory B viscous.




Figure 23: Overall altitude time history.

The Mach number time history is shown in Figure 24; while the overall time histories of AoA and aileron trim deflections are provided in Figure 25.




Figure 24: Overall Mach number time history.




Figure 25: Overall time histories of AoA and aileron trim deflection.

Note that the trajectories have been generated by DLR-Moraba for what concerns the launch vehicle, assuming a total payload weight of 800 kg (EFTV, ESM, launch vehicle service module, fairing) for the S43 booster, and by Gas Dynamics Ltd. (GDL, partner of HEXAFLY-INT project) for both the EFTV+ESM train (i.e. from 60 km to the separation altitude), and for the EFTV after the separation from ESM down to 20 km of altitude.

4.6 Flight Mechanics

The typical flight mechanic considerations, trimmability and static stability, are the focus of the analysis relevant to the capability of EFTV to perform the mission. The flight

mechanics characteristics will be determined over the entire flight envelope (M, α) covered by the aerodynamic data base (including thereby also non feasible flight conditions) and along a set of preliminary trajectories representative of effectively feasible flight conditions. The considered trajectories will be obtained by an optimization process to guarantee the desired experimental conditions within the aero-thermodynamic and structural constraints.

A specific flight condition has to be fixed from which the responsibility to control the EFTV is transferred from the Control Gas System of ESM to the Flight Control System of EFTV. This condition is closely related to the dynamic pressure assumed during flight, but clearly it also depends on the aerodynamic characteristics of the EFTV.

During the HEXAFLY-INT experiment, before the separation of EFTV from ESM, the attitude control is in charge of Control Gas System (CGS) of ESM. After the separation, this task shall be accomplished by the Flight Control system on EFTV; for this reason, the separation between ESM and EFTV must occur at a flight condition such that the control system has enough authority to control the vehicle attitude. Therefore a specific flight condition has to be fixed from which the responsibility to control the EFTV is transferred from the CGS of ESM to the Flight Control System of EFTV.

The identification of a possible separation point is of paramount importance as this point shall be carefully selected in order to ensure that control authority can be reliably transferred from ESM to EFTV. This condition clearly depends on the dynamic pressure but also on the aerodynamic characteristics of the EFTV. The analysis has been based on the preliminary EFTV configuration data, i.e. longitudinal aerodatabase and the preliminary ESM-EFTV trajectory. As a result, the analysis will be repeated as far as both vehicle configuration and reference trajectory will be refined. Furthermore the analysis does not take into account parametric uncertainties.

In order to preliminary identify the possible separation point, a number of criteria have been defined starting from specialized literature and past projects in which the same problem has been faced (see refs. [11]-[13]). The defined criteria are based on the computation of some parameters depending on both the vehicle aerodynamic characteristics and the reference trajectory.

The analysis results show that the defined criteria can be satisfied provided that the separation between ESM and EFTV occurs at a dynamic pressure not lower than about 3000 Pa. According to the preliminary ESM-EFTV trajectory, this flight condition occurs at an altitude of about 50 km, a Mach number of about 7 and an angle of attack of 7 degrees.. Obviously a more conservative condition for the ESM-EFTV separation should be considered as the carried out analysis does not account for the uncertainties affecting the parameter involved in the evaluation of control authority.

Moreover, a further analysis has been carried out with the aim of finding the AoA range in which the criteria defined in this document can be satisfied. This may represent a useful indication for the selection of EFTV reference trajectory.

As it can be seen from the figure below, when dynamic pressure is lower than about 2020 Pascal it is not possible to find an admissible AoA range as maximum AoA is lower than minimum AoA. When dynamic pressure becomes higher, the criteria are satisfied provided that AoA is constrained to lie within an interval which becomes higher and higher as the dynamic pressure (and consequently the control authority) increases.




Figure 26: AOA range satisfying all the criteria

5. Concluding Remarks

The present paper has dealt with the design analysis of the experimental flight test vehicle under development in the seventh framework programme, namely HEXAFLY-INT.

A Waverider body shape unmanned re-entry vehicle has been studied as technological prototype in order to demonstrate the application of state of the art technologies of the different sub-systems.

The logical steps of the early phase of HEAXFLY-INT project are introduced. The choice and evolution of the aero shape has been described by means of analytical approach based on theoretical, semi-empirical and numerical basis. Once aerodynamics, flight mechanics and aerothermodynamics verify the capability of the aero shape to perform the mission, the system configuration development starts and, after some loops to fulfill the mission and system requirements, the baseline system configuration has been selected. In detail, a mission scenario, the different flight segments and events to which the payload is exposed to have been described and justified. This has allowed for the definition of the aero-thermo-mechanical loads required to conceptually design all elements on board of the vehicle. This flying test bed is a self-controlled glider configuration that shall face a levelled hypersonic flight at about Mach 8, just after the separation from the experimental support module at about 50 km altitude, up to the vehicle loss. During this flight several experiments shall be carried out. The appraisal of the vehicle aerodynamic performance is needed for Flight Mechanics and Guidance, Navigation and Control analysis.

It has to be highlighted that, at the time being, the HEXAFLY-INT project is closing the Preliminary Design Review and is fully focused on the detailed design of the systems and subsystems, for the launch vehicle, the EFTV and the ESM, while the related technology assessments are on-going. The Critical Design Review is foreseen in 2016.

Acknowledgments

This work was performed within the ‘High-Speed Experimental Flight Vehicle--International’ project fostering International Cooperation on Civil High-Speed Air Transport Research. HEXAFLY-INT, coordinated by ESA-ESTEC, is supported by the EU within the 7th Framework Programme Theme 7 Transport, Contract no.: ACP0-GA-2014-620327. Further info on HEXAFLY-INT can be found on <http://www.esa.int/hexafly-int>.

5 REFERENCES

- [1] N. Favaloro, A.Rispoli, L.Vecchione, G. Pezzella, and Others. *Design Analysis of the High-Speed Experimental Flight Test Vehicle HEXAFLY-International* AIAA, 2015.
- [2] Steelant, J., Varvill R., Defoort S., Hannemann K. and Marini M., “Achievements Obtained for Sustained Hypersonic Flight within the LAPCAT-II project.” 20th AIAA International Space Planes and Hypersonic Systems and Technologies Conference, July Glasgow, Scotland. 2015.
- [3] Steelant J., “ATLLAS: Aero-Thermal Loaded Material Investigations for High-Speed Vehicles”, 15th AIAA International Space Planes and Hypersonic Systems and Technologies Conference, 28/04-01/05-2008, Dayton, Ohio, USA, AIAA-2008-2582.
- [4] Steelant J., “European Activities on High-Speed Vehicles: Feasibility Studies and Technological Challenges for Hypersonic Cruisers”, Aerothermodynamics Conference ATD7, ATD7-215019, May 2011, Bruges, Belgium.
- [5] Steelant J. et al., “Conceptual Design of the High-Speed Propelled Experimental Flight Test Vehicle HEXAFLY”, 20th AIAA International Space Planes and Hypersonic Systems and Technologies Conference, July, Glasgow, Scotland. 2015.
- [6] Weast, R.C. (1999). CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 80th Edition
- [7] Glass, D.E., Capriotti, D.P., Reimer, T., Küttemeyer, M., Smart, M., “Testing of DLR C/C-SiC and C/C for HIFiRE 8 Scramjet Combustor”, 19th AIAA "International Space Planes and Hypersonic Systems and Technologies Conference", 16-20 Jun. 2014 Atlanta, Georgia.
- [8] Pezzella,G., Marini, M., Reimann, B., Steelant, J., “Aerodynamic Design Analysis of the HEXAFLY-INT Hypersonic Glider”, 20th AIAA International Space Planes and Hypersonic Systems and Technologies Conference, July Glasgow, Scotland. 2015.
- [9] Pezzella G., Carandente V., Scigliano R., Marini M., Steelant J., “Aerothermal Environment Methodology Of The Hexafly-Int Experimental Flight Test Vehicle (EFTV)”, 8th European Symposium on Aerothermodynamics for Space Vehicles, 2-6 March 2015, Lisbon, Portugal.
- [10] Carandente V., Scigliano R., Pezzella G., Marini M. and Steelant J., “Finite Element thermal design of the Hexafly- INT Experimental Flight Test Vehicle”, 6th European Conference for Aeronautics and Space Sciences (EUCASS), 29 june – 03 july 2015, Krakow, Poland.
- [11] MIL-STD-1797
- [12] AGARD-R-577-70 "V/STOL Handling I-Criteria and Discussion" - 1970
- [13] Alony A., Wahnou E., Attar M.; "DFCS SCA Flying Qualities Requirements for Control Laws Design", Israel Aircraft Industries LTD - Israel 2001